

Original Article

Received: 2026/02/23
Revised: 2026/05/09
Accepted: 2026/05/19



COPYRIGHTS

©2025 The author(s). This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY 4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, as long as the original authors and source are cited. No permission is required from the authors or the publishers.



HOW TO CITE THIS ARTICLE

Behzadfar M. Rezayi Sh. Torkaman M. Razzaghi F. Analysis of emerging trends in sustainable transportation: designing a framework for the transformation of urban transportation policy and planning models. *Urban Economics and Planning* 7(9):22-48.

DOI: [10.22034/uep.2026.577735.1853](https://doi.org/10.22034/uep.2026.577735.1853)

Analysis of emerging trends in sustainable transportation: designing a framework for the transformation of urban transportation policy and planning models

Mostafa Behzadfar^{1*}, Shahriyar Rezayi², Maryam Torkaman³, Fatemeh Razzaghi⁴

1. Department of Urban Planning, School of Architecture and Environmental Design, Iran Science and Technology University, Tehran, Iran

2. Department of Urban Planning, Faculty of Architecture and Art, University of Guilan, Rasht, Iran

3. Department of Urban Planning, School of Architecture and Environmental Design, Iran University of Science and Technology, Tehran, Iran

4. Urban Planning Department, Faculty of Fine Arts, University of Tehran, Tehran, Iran

Abstract

Sustainable transportation, as one of the fundamental pillars of sustainable development, plays a significant role in reducing environmental impacts and improving the quality of life. The present study aimed to identify scientific trends, detect research gaps, and reveal emerging directions in sustainable transportation studies. This research adopts a bibliometric approach. The data were retrieved from the Scopus database through a systematic search covering the period from 2000 to 2024. In the initial stage, 1,522 records were identified. After removing 87 duplicate documents, 1,435 records remained for the preliminary screening stage. Subsequently, based on the review of titles and abstracts, 1,018 irrelevant documents were excluded. Following a full-text assessment of the remaining 418 records, a total of 269 articles were selected for the final analysis. The analyses included co-word, co-authorship, and co-citation analyses, and conceptual maps, as well as scientific clusters were generated using VOSviewer and SciMAT software. The findings indicate that the literature on sustainable transportation is concentrated in several major conceptual clusters. The most prominent themes include sustainable urban transportation planning, reduction of transportation-related emissions, and the development of clean technologies, particularly electric vehicles. In terms of scientific output, the United States and China were identified as the leading contributors in this field. At the institutional level, Arizona State University, Colorado State University, and Sharif University of Technology emerged as the most prominent research institutions in sustainable transportation studies. The results also highlight the growing attention to emerging topics such as electric vehicles and shared mobility systems. However, areas such as rural transportation sustainability and social equity in transportation have received comparatively limited attention, indicating the presence of notable research gaps in this field.

Keywords

Climate sustainability
shared mobility
sustainable development
sustainable transportation
VOSviewer visualization tool
zero carbon infrastructure

* Corresponding Author: behzadfar@iust.ac.ir

1. Introduction

The unprecedented and rapid growth of cities worldwide has fundamentally transformed lifestyles, particularly in the domain of transportation. United Nations estimates indicate that the urbanization rate will increase from its current level of 56% to 65% by 2050 (Chen et al., 2025). This accelerated population growth places considerable pressure on transportation systems, leading to increased energy consumption, exacerbated traffic congestion, and heightened greenhouse gas emissions (Jiang et al., 2022; Sun et al., 2024). Since the early 2000s, scientific research on sustainable transportation has expanded dramatically. In the initial stages, the focus was primarily on reducing carbon footprints and developing advanced technologies (Erdem et al., 2024; Mihanović, 2024). In recent years, attention has shifted toward a more comprehensive approach encompassing “digital mobility solutions,” “urban planning,” and “behavioral changes” (Lai et al., 2023; Sohi et al., 2025).

Over the past five years, innovations such as “electric vehicles,” “autonomous vehicles,” and “Mobility as a Service (MaaS)” have significantly reshaped transportation systems and urban management. However, the effective implementation of these technologies depends on “coordinated policy-making,” “adequate infrastructure,” “behavioral adaptation,” and “sustainable investment” (Zheng et al., 2013). Despite these advances, a comprehensive and integrated understanding of the sustainable transportation literature is still lacking. Existing reviews often focus on limited topics, short time frames, or specific regions, thus failing to provide a holistic picture (Araghi et al., 2023; Asha’ari et al., 2024).

The primary objective of this study is to analyze emerging trends in sustainable transportation and identify research gaps that have received less attention. The present study employs advanced scientometric visualization tools, including VOSviewer, to analyze the evolution of key concepts and emerging pathways in this field. Accordingly, this study seeks to answer the following questions: What emerging trends are identified in the field of sustainable transportation, and how have these trends influenced transportation policies and infrastructure? What gaps exist in the use of sustainability indicators (economic, social, and environmental) in sustainable transportation, and how can these gaps be addressed using new interdisciplinary frameworks? How can different dimensions of sustainable transportation (social, technological, and

environmental) be analyzed using interdisciplinary approaches and emerging technologies, and how can such analyses help align research with policy objectives? What emerging developments are identified in new concepts, and how do these developments affect scientific collaboration structures and future research? Furthermore, this study aims to identify existing challenges and opportunities in sustainable transportation policies and infrastructure to provide a more accurate and comprehensive understanding of the current state and future research needs in this field.

Although sustainable transportation has become a central focus of research and policy-making over the past two decades, significant gaps remain in the literature. One such gap is the inconsistency and dispersion in the use of economic, social, and environmental sustainability indicators. Moreover, there is a clear need for integrative and diverse frameworks to measure and compare results validly. Additionally, the lack of interdisciplinary research that simultaneously analyzes different dimensions of sustainable transportation and integrates novel technologies with social considerations constitutes another challenge in this field. The present study attempts to address these gaps and, through a multidimensional approach, draw a comprehensive picture of the future pathways of this field.

The main innovation of this research lies in its use of scientometric and visualization tools to analyze scientific trends in sustainable transportation. In particular, this study focuses on designing an analytical framework based on “social sustainability,” “urban governance and planning,” and “clean technologies” to reduce existing theoretical-practical gaps. Another innovation of this research is the identification of emerging developments in areas such as “shared mobility,” “smart cities,” and “equity in accessibility,” which can help policymakers align sustainable mobility objectives with research.

2. Theoretical foundations

2.1. Sustainable transportation

The concept of sustainable transportation gradually entered the planning literature in the late twentieth century and has since evolved significantly. It was first introduced by the European Commission in 1992 in its Green Paper on the environmental impacts of transport. In subsequent decades, transportation sustainability gained a prominent place in global

agendas, for instance, being included in Sustainable Development Goal 11 (Sustainable Cities and Communities), which emphasizes the role of transport in promoting public health, social justice, and urbanrural linkages. From the early 2000s, research focused primarily on technological and environmental solutions, such as improving fuel efficiency, developing alternative fuels and clean vehicles, or implementing intelligent transport systems (Chen et al., 2025). With population growth and economic development, the transport sector has expanded. However, its negative consequences – including increased greenhouse gas emissions, air pollution, high energy consumption, traffic congestion, and road accidents – have raised broad concerns. Consequently, aligned with global sustainable development goals, the concept of “sustainable transportation” has emerged, emphasizing a balance between society’s transport needs and the mitigation of environmental, social, and economic harms (Heidari et al., 2023).

These early approaches mainly stressed technical and environmental aspects and brought significant achievements in reducing pollutants and improving system efficiency. However, it soon became apparent that transport sustainability is not merely a technical issue but also involves human and equity dimensions. From the mid2010s onward, a new paradigm in urban transport planning took shape that, in addition to clean technology, emphasizes social equity and quality of life. According to some experts, the transition from mere urban growth to sustainable mobility crisis requires a fundamental rethinking of urban planning approaches, which has led to the emergence of the “sustainable mobility” paradigm. This new paradigm seeks to replace carcentricity and simple response to growing travel demand with demand management, highquality public transport development, compact city design, and prioritization of pedestrians and cyclists (Griffiths et al., 2021). In recent years, the smart city concept has also emerged, employing digital technologies to optimize transport systems and enhance citizens’ participation. Thus, the evolution of the transport sustainability concept between 2000 and 2024 can be seen as a shift from a pure focus on clean technologies and the environment toward a more comprehensive approach that includes social justice and smart cities.

2.2. Transport sustainability: balancing economic, social, and environmental dimensions

The concept of sustainability classically emphasizes

three main pillars: environmental protection, economic development, and social justice. In the transport sector, sustainability is achieved when a balance among these three pillars is established. From an environmental perspective, a sustainable transport system must minimize air pollution and greenhouse gas emissions, reduce the consumption of nonrenewable energy resources, and play a lesser role in ecosystem degradation. From an economic viewpoint, sustainable transport requires cost efficiency and effectiveness, so that transport networks can provide adequate accessibility and support economic growth using reasonable financial resources. On the social dimension, transport sustainability means equitable access for all people to safe and affordable mobility services. Achieving transport justice implies that no group or region is systematically deprived of adequate transport services, and the benefits and costs of the system are fairly distributed among people (Amorim et al., 2025).

In analyzing the evolution of transport systems, sociotechnical transition theories have been used to examine how these systems gradually change under the influence of innovations, policies, and social behavior. In planning, the concept of the “sustainable mobility paradigm,” introduced in the 2000s, serves as a conceptual framework challenging traditional carcentric principles and moving towards flexibility and multimodality in planning. Furthermore, life cycle assessment models have been used to evaluate the environmental impacts of transport options, and travel demand models have been employed to predict the effects of policies on passenger behavior. Overall, recent studies indicate that one must go beyond merely technical solutions and incorporate social and strategic considerations into transport decisions. For example, some researchers believe that many past transport interventions (such as promoting electric vehicles or developing pedestrian paths) were implemented without analyzing the values and underlying motivations of stakeholders, which has led to limited results. In recent years, two interdisciplinary analytical approaches have received more attention: spatial justice in transport and multilevel governance (Burger, 2025).

The theoretical frameworks reviewed in this section, including the evolutionary concept of sustainable transportation, the three dimensions of sustainability, the sustainable mobility paradigm, and equityoriented approaches, form the basis for the bibliometric analysis of this article in three ways:

1. Determining search keywords (like sustainable mobility, social equity in transport, smart city);
2. Categorizing thematic clusters of the extracted articles based on their emphasis on technical, economic, or social dimensions;
3. Interpreting temporal trends of research from 2000 to 2024 to identify existing gaps (like the lack of balanced integrative studies across the three sustainability pillars).

Thus, the theoretical foundations serve not as a separate review but as a roadmap for the bibliometric analysis.

3. Literature review

A systematic review of previous research in sustainable smart transportation can be categorized into four streams: (1) macrolevel scientometric reviews focusing on gaps in integrating the three sustainability pillars; (2) reviews centered on the social dimension; (3) reviews of green logistics and infrastructure; and (4) regional reviews emphasizing underrepresented contexts.

The first indication of this situation can be seen in the scientometric review by Tetteh et al., who systematically analyzed 39 studies from 2005 to 2023 and showed that the three pillars of sustainability, economic, social, and environmental, have been used in a fragmented and inconsistent manner, making it urgent to develop integrative frameworks and diversify methods for valid measurement and comparison of results. In addition to identifying influential authors and sources, they proposed a “triplebottomline” framework to guide future research (Tetteh et al., 2024). Subsequently, Szpilko et al., using data from Scopus and Web of Science, documented the rapid growth of the “sustainabilitysmartness” literature between 2010 and 2022. The United States, Italy, and China lead in knowledge production, and thematic clusters such as “pollution,” “health,” “infrastructure,” “technology,” “Internet of Things,” “urban logistics,” “urban solutions,” and “energy” shape the field. However, they emphasize a persistent theoreticalpractical gap: the genuine integration of renewable energy sources into urban systems and the linking of technological interventions with governance and planning requirements have not yet reached operational maturity (Szpilko et al., 2023).

On the other hand, the social dimension of transport sustainability has been analyzed less than needed. A comprehensive review by Bao et al. of 2,703

documents (1993–2021) shows that despite a remarkable increase in publications from 50 in 1993–2000 to 1,877 in 2015–2021, a coherent structural analysis of this dimension remains rare. Dominant themes such as “multiobjective optimization” and “social sustainability index” are well established. However, emerging topics like “shared transportation,” “smart cities,” and “COVID19 consequences” highlight new research questions and the need for more precise metrics (Bao et al., 2023). Simultaneously, in the domain of green/sustainable logistics, Ren et al., mapping 306 publications (1999–2019), organized five knowledge clusters (socialenvironmentaleconomic, planning and management, application, technology, and operations research) and 50 subdomains. Nevertheless, this scientific categorization also reveals that knowledge transfer to practice faces policy and technological bottlenecks that cannot be resolved without real linkages among policy, technology, and implementation (Ren et al., 2020). A largescale review by Roman of 1,238 records (2000–2022) presents a similar picture: five dominant axes – “urban mobility,” “pollutants and fuels,” “supply chain models,” “performance metrics,” and “policy” – are identified, but methodological limitations arising from reliance on a single database and search criteria indicate that even the existing body of literature requires reconfiguration and methodological enrichment to produce impactful, problemoriented research (Roman, 2022).

At the infrastructure level, Badassa et al., through scientometric and visualization analysis of the 2000–2019 literature, clarified the evolution of themes and influential actors. However, while pointing to thematic improvements, they state that a clear roadmap for “the next step” both in defining priority areas and in implementation mechanisms remains vague (Badassa et al., 2020). Extending the scope to underresearched contexts makes the gaps even more transparent. Agyei et al., in their study of Africa, using the PRISMA protocol and scientometric tools, identified five dominant themes and four knowledge gaps – from inattention to the “social dimension” and “community participation” to the lack of meaningful ICT integration in transport systems, the need to promote “nonmotorized transport,” especially “walking,” and the examination of the relationship between transport and “disaster risk” – while also noting that the region suffers from a shortage of comprehensive reviews and requires a guided research agenda (Agyei et al., 2024).

In conclusion, the “heterogeneity of metrics” and the lack of “valid integrative frameworks” for the three sustainability pillars limit measurability and comparability (Tetteh et al., 2024; Roman, 2022). At the intersection of “sustainability and smartness,” the literature has grown, but actual implementation, particularly in the “integration of clean energy” and “institutionalization of governance/planning components,” has lagged (Szpilko et al., 2023). The social dimension, both theoretically and in underrepresented geographical contexts, remains underanalyzed (Bao et al., 2023; Agyei et al., 2024). In “green transport” and “transport infrastructure,” despite the mapping of knowledge structure, the transition from cognition to practice is hampered by policy/technological obstacles and ambiguity about future pathways (Badassa et al., 2020; Ren et al., 2020).

A critical review of previous studies reveals a common methodological shortcoming: most scientometric reviews have been content with identifying thematic clusters or keyword cooccurrences, neglecting the discovery of structural relationships among themes and the prioritization of indicators based on the network linkage strength. In this regard, the “Thematic Network Analysis” method can fill this gap because, unlike purely descriptive or correlationbased methods, it can extract networks of basic, organizing, and global themes, reveal hierarchical relationships among indicators, and provide a systematic path for integrating social, technological, and governance dimensions into a single framework – something that previous reviews, due to their reliance on keyword cooccurrence or mere citation analysis, have been unable to achieve.

By employing “Thematic Network Analysis” as the primary integration method, the present study targets three key limitations of previous research: (1) it addresses the heterogeneity of metrics and the lack of

an integrative framework (Tetteh et al., 2024; Roman, 2022) by providing a network of themes with weighted and prioritized relationships; (2) it fills the theoreticalpractical gap in linking governance and technology (Szpilko et al., 2023) by identifying connecting nodes between “policy” and “technological infrastructure” themes; and (3) it remedies the neglect of the social dimension (Bao et al., 2023; Agyei et al., 2024) by incorporating themes related to community participation and transport justice into the network, rather than marginalizing them.

Accordingly, the present study targets the following central gap: “designing and validating an integrative framework of indicators and themes” that simultaneously covers the “social dimension,” “governance/planning mechanisms,” and the “integration of clean energy and ICT,” reduces the “theoreticalpractical gap,” and possesses crosscontext implement ability, especially in emerging economies – a framework grounded in “uptodate scientometric evidence,” “thematic network analysis,” and “empirical studies,” clarifying the path toward “operationalization” (definition of indicators, data, and actionable policy tools). In this way, the framework solidifies the research orientation and specifies the course of action. In the literature review, the absence of an integrated framework for combining social, governance, and clean technology dimensions in sustainable transportation is clearly evident. Furthermore, despite the rapid growth of research in smart mobility and sustainability, significant gaps remain in the practical implementation of these concepts. By identifying these gaps and designing a framework based on scientometric evidence, the present study endeavors to provide an operational path for aligning research and policymaking in this field. The conceptual framework of the research is shown in Figure 1.




Figure 1. Trends and framework of scientometric research in sustainable urban transportation: from theoretical foundations to policy applications

4. Materials and methods

This study employs a scientometric approach to systematically analyze the intellectual structure, scientific collaboration patterns, and thematic evolution of research in sustainable transportation. Scientometric analysis was selected due to its capacity to process large volumes of scientific publications and uncover hidden structural relationships in the production of scientific knowledge.

4.1. Data source and search strategy

Bibliographic data for this study were exclusively extracted from the Scopus database. Scopus was chosen for its extensive interdisciplinary coverage, standardized bibliographic metadata structure, and compatibility with scientometric analysis tools. This database provides structured information, including titles, abstracts, keywords, authors, institutional affiliations, countries, citations, and references, all of which are essential for scientific network analyses. The search was conducted in the TITLE-ABS-KEY field to ensure conceptual relevance of the documents to the research topic. The search strategy was defined as follows:

TITLE-ABS-KEY ("sustainable transportation" OR "transportation sustainability")

The time frame of the study covers the years 2000 to

2024, because research related to sustainable transportation has received more structured attention in the scientific literature since the early 2000s, concurrent with the expansion of sustainable development discourse, climate change mitigation policies, and low-carbon transportation strategies. Restricting the study to this time frame allows for the examination of the formation and growth phases of this research field.

Only documents published in English and indexed in relevant subject areas such as Engineering, Environmental Sciences, Transportation, and Social Sciences were considered.

The document types included in the study were:

- Research articles
- Review articles
- Conference papers
- Book chapters

These document types were selected because they possess formal scientific structure, complete bibliographic metadata, and citable scientific content, making them suitable for network and citation analyses. In contrast, editorials, notes, short communications, and documents lacking sufficient bibliographic information were excluded to maintain the coherence and reliability of the analysis.

The execution of the search strategy initially retrieved 1,522 records.

4.2. Data screening and refinement process

The identification, screening, and eligibility assessment of documents were conducted in accordance with the PRISMA 2020 framework to ensure methodological transparency and replicability of the research. The PRISMA flow diagram is presented in Figure 2.

In the first stage, duplicate records were identified and removed, eliminating 87 documents and leaving 1,435 records for the screening stage.

In the second stage, the titles and abstracts of the documents were examined to assess their thematic relevance. Documents were excluded if they:

- Focused on sustainability without the transportation dimension;
- Addressed transportation technologies without a sustainability framework;

- Fell outside the conceptual scope of sustainable transportation.

In this stage, 1,018 records were removed.

In the third stage, the full text of the remaining 418 documents was reviewed (where accessible). Documents were excluded for the following reasons:

- Insufficient bibliographic metadata for network analyses;
- Nonconformity of document type with preestablished criteria;
- Weak conceptual alignment with the field of sustainable transportation.

After fulltext assessment, an additional 148 documents were removed, and finally, 269 papers were selected for the final scientometric analysis.




Figure 2. PRISMA 2020 flow diagram of the identification, screening, and selection process for documents in the scientometric study of sustainable transportation.

4.3. Data extraction and preparation

The extracted bibliographic information included:

- Article title
- Author names
- Year of publication
- Authors' institutional affiliations
- Country
- Author keywords and indexed keywords
- Citation references

Records were exported in formats compatible with scientometric analysis software. Prior to analysis, a

data cleaning process was performed to enhance accuracy. This process included:

4.4. Removal of incomplete records

- Standardization of similar author and organization names in case of inconsistencies
 - Development of a thesaurus file to merge synonymous terms or different spellings of keywords
- This preprocessing step helped reduce dispersion in keyword cooccurrence networks and improved clustering validity.

4.5. Scientometric analysis techniques

Scientometric analysis was conducted using VOSviewer and SciMAT software to enable simultaneous examination of the conceptual and citation structures of the field.

4.6. Keyword cooccurrence analysis

To identify the main research topics and conceptual clusters, keyword cooccurrence analysis was performed using VOSviewer. A minimum keyword occurrence threshold of five was set to eliminate low-frequency terms and obtain a clearer conceptual structure. To assess the stability of the results, sensitivity analysis was also performed using thresholds of three and seven.

The overlay visualization feature was used to examine temporal trends. Keywords with a more recent average publication year were considered indicators of emerging topics.

4.7. Coauthorship analysis

Coauthorship networks were analyzed at three levels:

- Authors
- Organizations
- Countries

For each level, a minimum threshold of three documents was applied to ensure that the resulting networks were analytically meaningful and visually interpretable. This analysis helped identify scientific collaboration patterns and influential actors in the field.

4.8. Cocitation and bibliographic coupling analysis

To investigate the intellectual foundations and thematic relationships among documents, both cocitation and bibliographic coupling analyses were conducted.

- Cocitation analysis was used to identify foundational works and the intellectual structure of the field.

- Bibliographic coupling analysis was employed to examine thematic proximity among more recent research.

These network methods, due to their direct reliance on scientific citation relationships, are more suitable for analyzing knowledge structure than simple correlation-based methods.

Strategic diagram and thematic evolution

To analyze the dynamics of research topics, SciMAT software was used to generate a strategic diagram. This diagram enables the classification of topics into four groups:

- Motor themes
- Basic themes
- Emerging or declining themes
- Peripheral themes

This analysis contributes to understanding the maturity and significance of each thematic cluster in the sustainable transportation literature.

To increase the validity of the results, cluster stability was examined by varying analysis parameters, and a sample of documents from each cluster was reviewed to assess thematic convergence.

Distribution of document types

The distribution of document types is shown in Figure 3. The results indicate that research articles constitute the majority of documents, reflecting the predominance of original knowledge production in this field. Furthermore, the presence of review articles, conference papers, and book chapters indicates the interdisciplinary and dynamic nature of research related to sustainable transportation.

Documents by type

Scopus




Figure 3. Distribution of document types in the sustainable transportation literature (2000–2024).

4.9. Methodological limitations

This study relies solely on data extracted from the Scopus database. Although this database offers broad coverage and structured metadata, some scientific works indexed in other databases may not be included in this analysis. This limitation has been taken into consideration when interpreting the results of the study.

5. Findings

This section presents the research findings and the analysis of the research data. Initially, the research outputs generated by the software (VOSviewer) are examined, followed by a detailed analysis of each corresponding map. Subsequently, to interpret the findings and identify emerging thematic areas and research gaps, further analytical procedures are undertaken.

5.1. Analysis of the historical trend of quantitative growth in scientific publications

The line chart, directly extracted from the Scopus database, offers a clear illustration of the growth trajectory of scientific production in sustainable transport from 2000 to 2024. This progression can be delineated into four distinct phases. From 2000 to

2014, scientific output remains sparse and fragmented – a pattern characteristic of the ‘pre-paradigmatic stage’ of nascent fields, wherein researchers explore foundational concepts independently, without a shared theoretical framework. A pivotal turning point occurs around 2015, coinciding with the adoption of the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) and the intensification of policy mandates for low-carbon transport. This simultaneity suggests that the evolution of the field is not endogenous but rather exogenous, representing a response to institutional and policy pressures. The surge in the number of articles from 20 in 2018 to over 40 in 2024 signifies the field’s transition towards ‘quantitative maturity’. However, the relative decline observed in 2024 (partly attributable to indexing delays) raises an evolutionary question: has the field reached a saturation plateau, or is it on the verge of a qualitative leap (e.g., towards mixed-method and interdisciplinary approaches)? Answering this question necessitates not only a trend enumeration, but also a qualitative analysis of the conceptual structure. In summary, the pattern of increasing, albeit recently fluctuating, growth indicates that the sustainable transport field has moved from ‘idea generation’ phase into ‘paradigmatic reconfiguration’ one.




Figure 4. Number of articles indexed in Scopus on sustainable transport from 2000 to 2024, illustrating the historical evolution and the increasing scholarly interest in this domain.

5.2. Network of leading authors in sustainable transport research (2000–2024)

At this stage, the co-authorship patterns among researchers in the field of sustainable transport from 2000 to 2024 were analyzed. According to the network

map illustrated in Figure 5, the author’s co-authorship network reveals that the research community is divided into two relatively separate clusters (red and green). This bicluster structure indicates a divergent evolution within the field: two independent intellectual

currents have emerged with minimal overlap. From the perspective of scientific evolution, such divergence typically occurs during the intermediate stages of a field's maturation, when distinct methodological or problem-oriented approaches become established. The presence of only one bridging link (Zachary D. Asher) suggests that the field has not yet reached a stage of systemic integration and that the cognitive

and methodological costs of collaboration between these two currents remain high. For future evolution, research policies should purposefully create "more bridges" between these clusters, rather than merely increasing scientific output within each cluster. Otherwise, there exists a risk of falling into an echo chamber trap, accompanied by a decline in interdisciplinary innovation.




Figure 5. Co-authorship relationships among key researchers in the field of sustainable transport based on Scopus data (2000–2024). Clusters represent groups of authors with close collaborative ties.

5.3. Co-authorship network in sustainable transport research (2000–2024)

In this section, a network of collaborations among universities and research centers in sustainable transport was analyzed. For this purpose, articles published between 2000 and 2024 were utilized. What was observed in this network is that nearly all of these institutions are, in some manner, collaborating and interconnected with one another. The institutional co-authorship network, in contrast to the author network, exhibits an integrated and cohesive structure. This pattern suggests that, at the macro-organizational level, the field of sustainable transport has reached a stage of institutional maturity, wherein organizational boundaries no longer impede collaboration. The presence of institutions with diverse specializations (urban planning, economics, agriculture, tourism, remote sensing) within a unified network indicates that the evolution of the field is no longer proceeding based on a single parent discipline (e.g., civil

engineering), but has rather transformed into an established interdisciplinary configuration. However, the concentration of collaborations within a few central nodes (e.g., urban planning departments) signifies a core–periphery structure; while this structure is initially efficient in the evolution of fields, in the long term it may lead to path dependency and a decline in innovative diversity. Therefore, the next stage of evolution necessitates strengthening the role of peripheral institutions and creating new nodes. Such collaborations can contribute to the development of comprehensive models and solutions for addressing the challenges of this field. Figure 6 illustrates the co-authorship network of academic institutions involved in sustainable transport research between 2000 and 2024, based on Scopus data and generated using VOSviewer. This visualization highlights the patterns and intensity of collaboration among institutions in this domain.




Figure 6. Co-authorship relationships among academic institutions active in sustainable transport research based on Scopus data (2000–2024): the network of inter-institutional collaborations and patterns of institutional interaction.

As illustrated in Figure 7, the institutional collaboration chart reveals the role that universities and research centers have played in the production of knowledge within the field of sustainable transport from 2000 to 2024. In this ranking, Arizona State University ranks first with seven publications. Colorado State University and Sharif University of Technology are tied for second place, each with six publications. The presence of Sharif University among the top institutions underscores the significant role that Iranian researchers have played in this domain. Furthermore, this analysis also identified other active institutions, including the Georgia Institute of Technology, the University of the Southeast, and Purdue University. Another noteworthy observation from this review is

the contribution of non-academic institutions, such as the United States Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA). This serves as a reminder that collaboration between academic centers and policy-making bodies is of paramount importance. Overall, the data indicate that universities located in the United States have accounted for a large share of scientific activity in this field. However, alongside this concentration, a gradual expansion of international participation, particularly from Asian countries, is observable. This trend suggests that cross-border scientific collaborations and the establishment of inter-organizational networks are becoming increasingly significant in the field of sustainable transport.




Figure 7. Number of Scopus-indexed articles on sustainable transport by organizational affiliation. Arizona State University ranks first, followed by Colorado State University and Sharif University of Technology. The chart illustrates the prominent role of U.S. institutions and the increasing international participation, particularly from Asia.

5.4. Institutional co-authorship by time period (overlay visualization)

The diagram presented in Figure 8, generated using the overlay visualization technique, illustrates the temporal evolution of scientific collaborations among research institutions in the field of sustainable transport from 2000 to 2024. In this type of visualization, the color of each circle (representing a scientific institution) indicates the average publication year of co-authored documents attributed to that institution. The temporal display of institutional co-authorship depicts the temporal layers of evolution: purple institutions (the initial core, approximately 2010–2015) are predominantly American and European research institutions; yellow institutions (recent entrants, 2019–2023) include institutions from Asia, Southern Europe, and more applied-oriented organizations. This color shift from purple to yellow signifies a gradual succession of actors in the field and a globalization of the geography of knowledge production – a process that typically occurs during the maturation of established fields. Institutions that are both part of the older central core and exhibit a yellow color (e.g., urban planning departments) exemplify persistent actors that have successfully adapted to evolving research questions; in contrast, institutions that appear only in purple have likely drifted away from the mainstream of research. From the perspective of field evolution, this pattern indicates that sustainable transport research has moved from a stage of leadership by a few pioneering institutions to a stage of broad-based competitiveness and multipolarity. The policy implication is an opportunity

for developing countries (including Iran) to enter the mainstream by collaborating with the new yellow-colored institutions.

This pattern demonstrates that such institutions have played a more active role in recent research, and stronger inter-institutional collaborations have been formed during this period. Notably, institutions such as the Department of Urban Planning and the School of Business and Economics, while maintaining their central position in the network, have also exhibited significant research activity in recent years. This suggests that these institutions have functioned as bridging links, connected smaller research groups, and facilitated interdisciplinary collaboration. Furthermore, the uniform distribution of colors across the entire network indicates that the globalization process of scientific collaboration has occurred gradually and pervasively, and has not been confined to a few specific institutions. The disciplinary diversity of participating institutions across fields such as applied sciences, geomatics, urban planning, agriculture, tourism, and economics further underscores that research in sustainable transport inherently necessitates interdisciplinary and integrated approaches. In summary, the findings indicate that, although the roots of institutional collaboration trace back to the mid-2010s, a notable increase in collaborative activities has been observed between 2019 and 2023. This trajectory provides a valuable opportunity for researchers and policymakers to identify emerging key actors and institutions, define novel collaborations, and design more targeted agendas for future multi-institutional partnerships.




Figure 8. Temporal visualization of institutional co-authorship in sustainable transport research. Node colors indicate the average publication year per institution, ranging from purple (early contributors) to yellow (recent entrants), reflecting the evolving dynamics of inter-organizational collaborations.

5.5. International co-authorship network at the country level in sustainable transport research (2000–2024)

Figure 9 illustrates the international scientific collaboration network among countries that have been active in sustainable transport research between 2000 and 2024. This diagram reflects a significant increase in scientific collaborations among universities, research institutions, and planning centers at the international level. The aforementioned network was constructed using the network visualization technique in VOSviewer software, based on co-authorship analysis at the country level. The overlay visualization of institutional co-authorship, derived from Scopus data, indicates that institutions such as the VALORIZA Research Center, the Faculty of Applied Sciences, agricultural universities, the Department of Urban and Spatial Planning, the Madeira Tourism Research Center (CITUR Madeira), and a range of business and economics schools have played a pivotal role in shaping the knowledge structure of this field.

Following this, an analytical overview of five seminal studies exemplifying extensive collaborations among these institutions is presented:

In a study by Castanho et al. (2020), the sustainability of the transport system in the Canary Islands was analyzed. This research resulted from a multi-institutional collaboration involving WSB University of Applied Sciences in Poland, the University of the Azores, the VALORIZA Research Center, and CITUR Madeira. The findings underscore the necessity of designing region-oriented sustainable transport models tailored to the geographical and structural characteristics of island territories.

Continuing this line of inquiry, Castanho et al. (2021) examined transport patterns in the Azores Archipelago. This article, developed through collaboration among VALORIZA, CITUR Madeira, WSB University, and researchers from Spain and Serbia, has garnered considerable attention in academic circles. In this study, the authors emphasize that the lack of institutional synergy in island transport systems constitutes a fundamental barrier to sustainable development. Another study by Vulevic et al. (2020)

investigated accessibility dynamics and cross-border collaborations between Portugal and Spain. This research involved institutions such as the CIP Transport Institute of Serbia, the VALORIZA Research Center, WSB University, and schools of agriculture and urban planning. This collaboration reflects a regional effort in Southern Europe to enhance infrastructural cohesion. The study's findings on spatial inequalities in transport accessibility have been widely received by the scientific community.

In a further study, Naranjo Gómez et al. (2022), published in the journal *European Planning Studies*, examined transport logistics and infrastructure sustainability in the Iberian Peninsula. This research resulted from interdisciplinary collaboration among researchers from the University of Extremadura, the VALORIZA Research Center, and the CIP Institute. The findings underscore the importance of integrating engineering, urban planning, and regional policy in sustainable transport research. Finally, an article by Castanho et al. (2024) was also reviewed. This research, conducted in collaboration with researchers from universities including the University of the Azores and the University of Las Palmas de Gran Canaria (Spain), investigates the role of inter-island transport in improving spatial and territorial cohesion in the Azores Archipelago. The results of this article indicate that, despite supportive policies, the lack of alignment between transport plans and the actual needs of residents represents a serious obstacle to the spatial integration of island regions.

Overall, this body of studies demonstrates that progress in the field of sustainable transport is highly dependent on robust scientific collaborations among universities, research centers, and policy-making institutions at both national and international levels. Furthermore, co-authorship in the production of scientific articles not only enhances the academic and citation impact of research outputs but also contributes significantly to the development of comprehensive, applied, and policy-oriented solutions for achieving sustainable transport in urban, border, and island regions.




Figure 9. International co-authorship network. Nodes represent countries, with node size proportional to scientific output and edge thickness indicating collaboration intensity. The United States and China serve as major hubs, while countries such as India, Iran, and Spain act as bridging links between regional clusters, reflecting research dynamics at both global and regional scales.

Figure 10 presents the geographical distribution of scientific output in the field of sustainable transport, based on data extracted from the Scopus database. This chart, which compares the number of scientific publications at the country level, indicates a concentration of research activities within several key regions of the world. According to Figure 10, the United States ranks first with approximately 90 published articles, underscoring its pivotal and pioneering role in advancing knowledge in this domain. This dominance can be attributed to the country's robust research infrastructure and substantial investment in sustainable transport. In second place, the People's Republic of China accounts for over 40 scientific publications, reflecting the remarkable growth of China's international scientific participation over the past two decades. This position highlights China's strategic focus on the development of smart transport technologies and carbon reduction policies. Following China, India ranks third, with a considerable number of publications and an increasing presence in recent years, indicating that the country has secured

an important position within the scientific literature of this field. In the intermediate ranks, countries such as the United Kingdom, Iran, Canada, and Italy are observed. The presence of Iran, which even surpasses some developed nations, demonstrates the growing interest of Iranian researchers in topics such as sustainable urban development, transport-related pollution, and environmental policymaking. In subsequent tiers, several East Asian countries, including South Korea, Hong Kong, and Japan, appear. Although their current share of scientific output is relatively modest, global trends suggest a gradual increase in the scientific contribution of these countries in the future. Overall, the structure of this chart indicates that, while knowledge production in sustainable transport has become global in nature, a distinct geographical concentration persists. In other words, it can be concluded that countries with advanced research infrastructure and coherent policy frameworks continue to play a decisive role in shaping the scientific landscape of this field.




Figure 10. Number of Scopus-indexed articles on sustainable transport by country or region (2000–2024). The United States leads by a substantial margin, followed by China and India. The chart illustrates regional disparities in research production and the dominant role of countries with advanced research infrastructure and strategic investment in sustainable transport.

5.6. Keyword co-occurrence network in sustainable transport research

Figure 11 presents the keyword co-occurrence network in the field of sustainable transport, constructed based on keyword co-occurrence analysis for the period 2000–2024 using VOSviewer software. This analysis organizes the conceptual structure of the research into several distinct thematic clusters.

The keyword co-occurrence structure (Figure 11) reveals three evolutionary layers:

- **Central core (red cluster – established themes):** Includes “sustainable development,” “urban transport,” and “pollutant emissions.” The presence of this core indicates that the field has developed a mature central discourse – a stage of evolution in which foundational concepts have reached theoretical saturation and no longer constitute the primary challenge.
- **Intermediate clusters (green and blue – methodology and technology):** Such as “data envelopment analysis,” “fuzzy logic,” “smart city.” The emergence of these clusters signifies a methodological turn in the field’s evolution: a shift from questions of «what?» and «how much?» to questions of «how to integrate?» and «how to manage intelligently?»
- **Periphery (scattered keywords such as intermodal transport, supply chain logistics):** This dispersion indicates evolutionary gaps – areas that possess the potential to become new cores but have not yet reached maturity. From the perspective of field evolution, the closer a keyword is to the periphery, the higher the probability of either thematic death or a future leap to the core. Distinguishing between these two possibilities requires temporal analysis (presented in Figure 12).

The co-occurrence network demonstrates that the sustainable transport field has passed the stage of “forming a shared vocabulary” and is now in a phase of specialization and bifurcation into subdomains. The primary evolutionary challenge ahead is the reintegration of these subdomains into a unified framework – precisely what the present study undertakes through a “network-based thematic analysis.”

The research by Anderson (2008), although conducted within the context of food systems, has significant implications for transport infrastructure due to its focus on social policies and distributive justice. By adopting a rights-based approach, this study emphasizes broader governance processes that can be applied to transport policymaking. The study by Wey and Huang (2018) highlights the lack of integrated planning and the misalignment among different transport modes in developing countries. In the co-occurrence map, keywords such as “intermodal transport” and “air carriers” are situated in peripheral sections, indicating neglected potentials and research gaps in this area.

On the other hand, Zheng et al. (2013) provide a practical framework for developing performance indicators in sustainable transport policies. Drawing on case studies from American cities, this study underscores the necessity of connecting empirical data with long-term sustainability goals and promoting evidence-based decision-making. More recent comparative studies (2024) have examined changes in public transport systems from the perspectives of urban planning and operational performance during economic and climate crises. The findings indicate an increasing scientific focus on the resilience and

adaptability of urban transport systems.

In summary, the keyword co-occurrence map and the review of related research works indicate that sustainable transport is deeply rooted in environmental and urban discourses and is methodologically transitioning toward complex data-driven analyses,

causal modeling, and policy-oriented design. However, the dispersion of peripheral concepts suggests the existence of significant gaps in the literature, thereby opening new pathways for future interdisciplinary research.




Figure 11. Keyword co-occurrence network in sustainable transport research (2000–2024). The map includes main thematic clusters around sustainability, environmental impacts, public transport, and technological innovation. Node size indicates keyword frequency, and cluster colors represent conceptual groupings. Peripheral terms denote specific or less-explored research areas.

5.7. Keyword co-occurrence network in sustainable transport research

Figure 12 graphically illustrates the temporal and thematic evolution of scientific research in the field of sustainable transport through a conceptual co-occurrence map. This analysis was conducted using the overlay visualization functionality in VOSviewer software. The temporal co-occurrence map (Figure 12) provides the most significant evidence for an integrated narrative of the field's evolution. The color transition from blue (2010) to yellow (2024) can be interpreted in terms of three successive paradigms: First paradigm (blue, 2010–2014): Technical efficiency and pollution control. Dominant keywords: CO₂ emissions, energy consumption, fuel optimization. At this stage, the central problem of the field was defined as “how to reduce transport pollution?” Approaches were predominantly engineering-oriented and univariate. Second paradigm (Green, 2015–2020): multi-criteria decision-making (MCDM) and hybrid methodologies. Emerging keywords: fuzzy logic, reliability analysis, multi-criteria indicators. This transition indicates that the field recognized that sustainability cannot be measured by a single indicator (e.g., CO₂); consequently, it turned to integrated tools.

From the perspective of scientific evolution, this stage represents methodological maturity.

Third paradigm (yellow, 2021–2024 and projection to 2025): Intelligent, shared, and equity-oriented systems. Dominant keywords: MaaS, shared mobility, advanced air mobility, artificial intelligence, spatial justice. This color shift from green to yellow signifies the most profound evolutionary change in the field: not only the tools, but the very problem itself has been redefined. The central question now is: “How can we design a transport system that is simultaneously low-carbon, equitable, intelligent, and accessible to all?” This transition is equivalent to a paradigm shift in the Kuhnian sense.

Evolutionary gaps revealed in the map: The weak presence of concepts such as “intermodal transport” and “supply chain logistics” in the yellow zones warns that these subdomains may fall behind the mainstream of evolution unless they are linked to intelligent concepts (e.g., IoT in logistics). The present study, in full alignment with the third paradigm, seeks precisely to integrate indicators of equity, governance, and clean technologies through a network-based thematic analysis – i.e., precisely what the yellow-colored map indicates as the future trajectory.

In this regard, the research by Mahajan et al. (2021)

enriches this conceptual axis by presenting an integrated framework for assessing urban transport sustainability that combines environmental, economic, and social dimensions through MCDM and data-driven methods. Alongside these established axes, particularly topics related to carbon reduction such as carbon footprint and CO₂ emissions, more recent studies, including those by Du et al. (2021) and Rezaei et al. (2023), have examined decarbonization strategies in transport systems, emphasizing the role of public policy, technological innovation, and energy efficiency improvements in the development of low-carbon transport.

The green areas of the map, corresponding to the 2015–2020 period, include methodological keywords such as fuzzy logic, reliability analysis, and multi-criteria indicators, representing a methodological turn in the field toward cross-sectoral and data-driven analyses. For instance, Heidari et al. (2020) developed a composite urban sustainability index using fuzzy numbers and logic, demonstrating the capacity of advanced assessment tools.

Conversely, the yellow zones of the map represent the most recent and rapidly growing research axes. Keywords such as MaaS, shared mobility, and advanced air mobility (AAM) imply the field's transition toward digital, networked, and intelligent transport models. In this context, Liu et al. (2023), through a comprehensive conceptual review of Advanced Air Mobility, examined issues including eVTOL design, regulatory

considerations, and governance challenges. Likewise, Li et al. (2023), through an extensive analysis of policy complexities in shared mobility ecosystems, contributed to a deeper understanding of the managerial and institutional challenges in this sector. In a similar vein, other studies have proposed data-driven, real-time analytical frameworks for intelligent transport to monitor urban emission patterns, which hold particular significance for urban sustainability monitoring.

In the peripheral sections of the network, concepts such as intermodal transport, supply chain logistics, and regional sustainability exhibit weaker connections, indicating emerging or less-developed research orientations. In this context, Chen et al. (2025) examined transport sustainability in island regions, emphasizing the necessity of locally tailored policies and adaptive infrastructure planning.

Overall, the temporal and thematic patterns displayed in the co-occurrence map, together with the review of recent literature, indicate that the field of sustainable transport is transitioning from early technical and environmental approaches toward data-driven policy-making, equitable spatial planning, shared systems, and integrated smart services. In this transition, the yellow clusters represent promising axes for future research, particularly at the intersection of sustainable transport with digital innovation and artificial intelligence.




Figure 12. Overlay of keyword co-occurrence in sustainable transport research (2000–2024). Node colors represent the average publication year of each keyword, ranging from blue (early concepts, circa 2010) to yellow (emerging concepts, circa 2024). Node size indicates keyword frequency, and edge thickness reflects co-occurrence strength. The conceptual evolution shifts from an environmental and technical focus toward smart mobility, digital services, and spatial justice.

5.8. Citation analysis of documents aimed at identifying knowledge clusters and emerging research pathways in sustainable transport

The map below presents the results of the citation analysis at the document level in the field of sustainable transport over the period 2000–2024. In this visualization, each node represents a scientific work (article or research document), with node size proportional to the number of citations received. Edges (connections) represent citation relationships among articles, and node color indicates a distinct conceptual cluster.

The citation network (Figure 13) reveals the hidden layer of the field's evolution – namely, the flow of influence and the succession of ideas. Three key findings can be interpreted from the perspective of scientific evolution:

- Existence of a paradigmatic hard core (core set): The large nodes at the center (e.g., [Mihyeon & Amekudzi, 2005](#); [Steg, 2005](#); [Haghshenas, 2012](#)) are articles that have defined the foundational frameworks of the field. These works act as “living textbooks,” with every new study compelled to cite them. From an evolutionary standpoint, this core indicates that the field has entered a stage of normal science, wherein a dominant paradigm exists, and researchers engage in problem-solving within it.
- Structural gap between the green/purple cluster (behavioral-environmental) and the red cluster (corporate policy): The red cluster (research on sustainable supply chains and corporate social responsibility), with very limited connections to the network center, represents a classic example of insular evolution. This disconnect constitutes a serious

evolutionary warning: if these two currents are not connected, the field will experience a vertical knowledge gap – i.e., behavioral findings will never translate into corporate policy, and vice versa. Bridging such clusters typically requires integrative research (e.g., collaborative case studies) and the use of approaches such as network-based thematic analysis.

c) Peripheral nodes (for example, [Grigoroudis, 2022](#); [Zu, 2021](#); [Antunes, 2023](#)): These nodes, while recent in terms of publication date, exhibit weak connections to the core. They present two possible evolutionary interpretations: (i) pioneers of a new paradigm that have not yet gained acceptance but will become central in the future; (ii) uninfluential marginal works that never enter the mainstream. Distinguishing between these two possibilities requires deeper content analysis. Through its systematic review, the present study demonstrates that topics such as MCDA and artificial intelligence belong to the first category. Overall, the results of this citation analysis highlight three key gaps:

- The disconnect between behavioral studies and macro-level policy research;
- The weak integration of Iranian research into the global scientific discourse;
- The lack of network connectivity for emerging concepts in recent years.

These findings can serve as a valuable foundation for defining future research trajectories, particularly in areas such as smart mobility, spatial equity, shared transport systems, and integrated behavioral-environmental analyses based on big data-driven methods.




Figure 13. Citation network of scientific documents in the field of sustainable transport (2000–2024). Each node represents a publication; node size indicates citation count, and colors denote conceptual clusters. The central axes include key thematic areas such as behavioral analysis, sustainability modeling, and environmental assessment. The red cluster, focused on corporate policy and supply chains, exhibits limited integration with mainstream research currents, indicating conceptual gaps and emerging pathways.

In this study, the concepts of strategic diagrams were employed to analyze emerging trends and evolutionary dynamics within the field of sustainable transport. These diagrams effectively simulate the conceptual transformations in this domain across four principal categories:

1. Motor themes (active and prominent themes): These themes include shared mobility and smart cities, which are currently attracting the greatest attention in scientific research within this field.
2. Emerging themes: Themes that have grown rapidly in recent years, such as artificial intelligence in transport and healthoriented transport, are progressively becoming major research axes in this area.
3. Basic themes (sustainable themes): These themes pertain to issues that have remained stable over time, such as carbon reduction and environmental sustainability, which still constitute substantial portions of sustainable transport research.
4. Declining themes: Themes that are gradually diminishing and receiving less attention include passenger transport and walking. These concepts have experienced a decline in focus in recent studies.

These trends indicate that in recent years, new topics such as artificial intelligence and healthoriented mobility have grown significantly, whereas traditional topics like passenger transport have experienced waning attention. Such developments reflect a transition from classical paradigms towards more novel frameworks, emphasizing advanced technologies, social sustainability, and health.

To compare and contextualize these findings with previous analyses, the emerging trends identified in the strategic diagrams were contrasted with coauthorship and cword analyses. The results demonstrate that prominent authors in this field have largely moved towards emerging themes, while classical themes have attracted less attention from the scientific community. These shifts notably indicate changes in research methodologies and a growing focus on social and technological sustainability.

6. Discussion

6.1. Comprehensive perspective and innovation of the study compared to previous research

This study presents a comprehensive view of research trends in sustainable transportation, a perspective often lacking in previous works. Numerous prior studies have neglected social sustainability in favor of focusing on specific aspects of sustainability, such as

environmental or economic issues (Bao et al., 2023). In contrast, the present study fills this gap by simultaneously examining the economic, environmental, and social pillars of sustainability over a broader period (2000–2024). Furthermore, by combining bibliometric techniques with visualization tools – including cooccurrence, coauthorship, and citation mapping through VOSviewer this study reveals broad patterns and hidden linkages in the data. This multidimensional approach adds greater depth and richness to the findings. Essentially, while previous studies each illuminated parts of the sustainable transportation research landscape, this study offers a more comprehensive and uptodate perspective. The results provide a clear, documented, datadriven answer to the main research question: identifying dominant and emerging trends in sustainable transportation research.

6.2. Temporal evolution and paradigm shift in sustainable transportation research

Over the past 25 years, research in this field has experienced continuous evolution, moving from a focus on fundamental issues such as pollution control and macro transportation policy toward the integration of advanced technologies and social considerations (Hosseinian et al., 2024). For example, while earlier research mainly concentrated on planning methods, carbon emission reduction, and lower fossil fuel consumption, recent years have seen a remarkable growth in themes such as smart cities, electric and autonomous vehicles, and transportation system resilience. These changes indicate that the field has moved beyond a onedimensional stage and now adopts a comprehensive, systematic, and interdisciplinary view of sustainability in transportation. The emerging pathways identified in this study include:

- Transition toward electrification and clean energy, from electric vehicles to hydrogenbased fuels.
- Integration of digital technologies and artificial intelligence in transport management, including advanced simulations and machine learning.
- Increasing focus on humancentered, equityoriented, and healthoriented mobility, including active transport, walkability, and equitable urban access.
- Emergence of resiliencebased approaches in response to global challenges such as pandemics and climate change.

Collectively, these developments provide a wellreasoned, multifaceted answer to the research question and show that, while building on the

foundations of past research, the field is expanding toward new scientific and technological frontiers.

6.3. Analysis of paradigmatic shifts and their impact on sustainable transportation research

As one of the key pillars of sustainable development, sustainable transportation has witnessed major transformations in concepts, approaches, and implementation policies over the past two decades. When the field first emerged, its primary focus was on environmental issues and mitigating the negative impacts of transport on the planet. Over time, however, social and economic dimensions have also been recognized as core pillars of transport sustainability, and these paradigmatic changes have driven research in this field toward a more comprehensive, interdisciplinary approach. The shift from a sole focus on environmental issues to more comprehensive approaches that encompass social, economic, and health dimensions has been a major paradigmatic change in sustainable transportation research over the last two decades. Initially, sustainable transport research was mainly concerned with environmental issues such as reducing carbon footprints and adopting green technologies.

Gradually, this onedimensional view changed, and social dimensions such as equitable access to transport, improved public health, and economic resilience also moved to the center of attention. These changes indicate that sustainable transport is no longer merely an environmental issue but has become an interdisciplinary topic that simultaneously considers social and economic aspects. One important aspect of this paradigm shift is the emergence of new indicators for assessing transport sustainability that more comprehensively consider environmental, social, and economic impacts. This approach is particularly emphasized in recent studies on smart cities, shared mobility, and MaaS. Recent research (like Grigoroudis et al., 2022) shows that these integrated models align social needs and environmental objectives more effectively than older approaches.

6.4. Impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on research trends and developments in sustainable transportation

The COVID19 pandemic has had significant effects on mobility patterns and transport demand worldwide. Social distancing measures and travel restrictions led to a sharp decrease in workrelated and longdistance travel, especially in urban areas. These changes pushed

many activities toward telework and the application of digital technologies, reducing the need for conventional transport (Li et al., 2023). After the crisis, attention to transport system resilience and the necessity of deploying smart technologies and realtime traffic management increased. Researchers have paid more attention to using artificial intelligence, intelligent transport systems, and realtime data analytics models as tools for crisis management and improving transport system performance under critical conditions (Sohi et al., 2025). These changes have led to the revision of policies and the development of new strategies in the design and implementation of transport systems.

6.5. Emerging trends, technological challenges, and inequality in access

In the future, several emerging trends are likely to shape sustainable transportation research. Shared mobility, transport electrification, and the incorporation of smart city frameworks are among the most important innovative areas. The integration of artificial intelligence and big data in transport design and management will bring major changes, particularly in optimizing energy consumption and improving system efficiency. Research shows that the use of big data for realtime, smart decisionmaking in transport management is growing, especially in large cities (Liu et al., 2023). However, these technologydriven developments also create challenges, particularly regarding equitable access to technologies and infrastructure. While digitalizing transport systems offers many benefits, the lack of access to new technologies for certain groups can exacerbate inequalities. Future research should focus on these challenges and offer solutions for the fair distribution of the benefits of these developments.

6.6. Implementation challenges in sustainable transportation policy

Implementing sustainable transport policies faces numerous challenges. Problems such as budget constraints, political resistance, and lack of interinstitutional cooperation are among the main obstacles on the path to implementation. Research has shown that for the successful implementation of these policies, effective coordination and cooperation among governments, the private sector, and scientific institutions must be strengthened (Sohi et al., 2025). Moreover, the deployment of essential infrastructure such as electric vehicle charging stations, intelligent traffic management systems, and public transport

systems requires considerable time and resources. Researchers must continuously identify and address these barriers at different scales and provide operational solutions to facilitate this process.

6.7. Addressing research gaps: previous shortcomings and how this study resolves them

One of the most important shortcomings was the lack of a comprehensive assessment of the entire body of studies in the field of sustainable transportation; previous research mainly focused on limited aspects or specific branches of this field. By adopting a broad, multidimensional approach, this study has filled this gap and simultaneously examined various dimensions of the field. For example, one problem raised in previous research was the lack of a precise understanding of the temporal evolution and function of scientific research in this field (Asha'ari et al., 2024). In this regard, the present study conducted a longitudinal analysis of publication trends from 2000 to 2024 to provide a clear picture of the temporal evolution of research.

Another gap was the lack of attention to new, interdisciplinary topics such as autonomous vehicle technologies and the link between transport and health – areas that were still in their early stages when earlier studies were conducted. By identifying and highlighting these emerging areas, including the impacts of the COVID19 pandemic and the application of artificial intelligence in transport systems, this study has enriched the existing literature and charted future research directions.

Furthermore, by revealing geographic gaps (i.e., regions and countries with low participation in sustainable transportation research), this study indirectly addresses another important shortcoming in the literature. Previous research has largely been driven by developed countries and has consistently emphasized the need for greater participation from developing countries. Although scientometric studies cannot directly remedy these inequalities, by highlighting the scientific underrepresentation of these regions, they can underscore the importance of science policies in strengthening research capacity and knowledge production in those countries.

Overall, the identified gaps, whether thematic, temporal, or geographic, have either been directly covered in this study or have been highlighted in a way that paves the way for addressing them in the future.

6.8. Comparison with previous scientometric studies: similarities and innovations

The findings of this study are consistent with the results of many recent scientometric studies in the field of sustainable transportation, while also having distinct innovations.

In terms of keyword cooccurrence analysis, the thematic clusters identified in this study are highly similar to the findings of previous research. For instance, Asha'ari et al. (2024) identified highfrequency topics such as transport planning, policymaking, climate change, electric vehicles, and public transport, which align with the main clusters of the present study, including policymaking, clean technologies, and environmental issues. Similarly, Bao et al. (2023) introduced terms such as shared mobility, smart city, and sustainable mobility as growing keywords in the field of social sustainability concepts that were also observed as emerging trends in the present analysis.

In terms of temporal coverage, this study shows that from 2020 onward, research attention to topics such as the COVID19 pandemic and autonomous vehicles has increased significantly, while more classical topics have experienced a relative decline. This pattern is consistent with the findings of Ince (2025), who reported that terms such as public health and walkability entered the scientific literature around 20202021 and have since been considered emerging urban topics. That study also confirmed that “COVID19” and “autonomous vehicles” are now among the most dynamic research axes in urban transport, a finding fully consistent with the results of this study.

Regarding coauthorship networks and international scientific collaborations, both the present study and previous research emphasize the dominance of a small number of countries in sustainable transportation knowledge production. For example, Bao et al. (2023) identified the United States, China, and the United Kingdom as the main players in this field. This finding matches the results of Judi Janto et al. (2025) as well as the present analysis.

Citation analysis has also yielded similar results; both types of studies have identified a set of highly cited, influential sources that form the theoretical foundation of the field. For instance, the scientometric study by Badassa et al. (2020) showed that articles related to sustainability indicators and lowcarbon transport policies received the highest number of citations.

Similarly, the present analysis has revealed a comparable set of foundational works, including both classical studies on sustainable transport approaches and recent technological innovations.

Overall, the findings of this study are largely confirmed by scientometric studies published after 2020, while simultaneously adding value to the existing scientific body by highlighting new trends and underexplored areas such as novel technologies, smartization, and crisis-induced topics. This overlap reinforces the validity and robustness of the present study's results and shows that its methodology and findings are aligned with mainstream scientific discourse while also helping to address previously neglected knowledge gaps.

6.9. Data-driven conceptual framework and its validation

The conceptual model presented in this study is designed based on structural analysis and a novel synthesis of theories and findings from urban sciences, with the aim of illustrating how macro drivers and internal relationships affect urban transformation outcomes, grounded in a conceptual diagram.

Macro drivers: At the highest level, the model introduces four main drivers: demographic changes, climate change, globalization, and technological innovation as external factors influencing the urban system. These drivers, directly and indirectly, shape the path of urban governance and policymaking and affect indicators of environmental quality, social justice, and resilience.

Urban governance and planning: In the second layer, urban governance plays an intermediary role between external drivers and the pillars of urban transformation. In this part, the model shows that the quality of policymaking, decisionmaking, management practices, and citizen participation processes can modulate the intensity or direction of the macro drivers' effects and lead to the realization of the main transformation dimensions.

Four pillars of urban transformation: The innovation of the research model lies in introducing and explaining four fundamental pillars of urban transformation:

- **Social and spatial justice:** This pillar emphasizes strengthening equal access, social cohesion, reducing spatial discrimination, and improving the quality of the living environment for citizens.
- **Economic policy and dynamics:** It focuses on improving economic productivity, employment, economic justice, and the financial sustainability of

cities.

- **Technological innovation and smart city:** Includes the implementation of new technologies, the use of data, digital infrastructures, and the enhancement of urban efficiency and services.
- **Climate and environmental resilience:** This pillar aims to protect the environment, adapt to climate change, reduce vulnerability, and ensure urban sustainability in the face of natural hazards.

Each pillar is explained based on specialized subcomponents and two-way relationships with other pillars, forming a network of horizontal and vertical interactions.

Intermediate and outcomes

In the lower part of the model, the outcomes resulting from the interactions among drivers, governance, and the four transformation pillars are described.

Intermediate outcomes include:

- Enhanced urban resilience
- Improved social cohesion
- Economic dynamism and prosperity
- Increased security
- Improved health
- Better accessibility

The outcome of the model is the improvement of the quality of life and citizen satisfaction with the urban environment, considered the ultimate goal of urban planning.

Causal relationships and model innovation

Causal relationships in the model:

The connections in the model are indicated by directional arrows, showing that macro drivers first affect governance, then, through governance and policymaking, influence the four pillars. Each pillar interacts bidirectionally with other dimensions and produces its own practical and social outcomes. The main motivation and innovation of the model lie in its theoretical synthesis of different dimensions of urban transformation into a coherent structure and its applicability in the decisionmaking process.

Innovation aspect of the research:

This model integrates, for the first time, the four transformation pillars through an interactive, causal approach and a direct link from theory to policy application. Its main advantage is the ability to simultaneously analyze various urban outcomes and create a pattern for evidence-based evaluation of urban policies. The conceptual model of the research serves not only as the theoretical framework but also

as an analytical guide for interpreting findings, formulating strategic recommendations, and conducting evidencebased policymaking in urban planning. The model can be used for evaluating

different scenarios, identifying strengths and weaknesses, and improving citizens' quality of life, and it is adaptable to other case studies.




Figure 14. Data-driven conceptual framework of the research

7. Conclusion

This study employed a multilayer scientometric approach to map the structural evolution and emerging research trends in transportation sustainability over the period 2000–2024. The analytical findings confirm that, from the mid2010s onward, this field has emerged as a rapidly growing and conceptually mature scientific domain, driven by the convergence of global climate change mitigation policies and the imperatives of sustainable urban planning. The results indicate that after an initial phase of gradual, fragmented growth, the field entered a stage of rapid expansion and conceptual maturity around the mid2010s. This evolutionary trajectory aligns with international climate change policies, sustainable urban development, and the restructuring of transportation infrastructure.

Using three core analytical approaches – coword analysis, coauthorship analysis, and citation analysis – this study provides a comprehensive picture of the knowledge drivers and influential scientific collaborations that have shaped the field. The main conceptual clusters are:

- I. Transport policy and urban planning
- II. Technological innovation focused on clean vehicles and energy efficiency
- III. Environmental and climate issues emphasizing decarbonization and climate resilience
- IV. Social dimensions including equity, accessibility, and user satisfaction

These clusters delineate a pattern that reflects the interdisciplinary nature of sustainable transportation research, where environmental, technological, and humancentered considerations are simultaneously

intertwined. The temporal analysis of keywords reveals that, since 2020, new concepts such as smart city, shared mobility, AI in transport, MaaS, and health-oriented transport have emerged as prominent scientific trends. In contrast, more traditional concepts such as Passenger Transport and Walking have experienced a relative decline in research attention. This shift indicates a transition from classical environmental-technological paradigms toward data-driven, equity-oriented, and health-focused frameworks.

From a geographical perspective, the results confirm that developed countries continue to dominate knowledge production and international collaboration networks in this field. The United States, China, and several European nations play leading roles, while countries of the Global South – particularly low-income and developing regions remain underrepresented in the scientific literature. This geographic inequality in knowledge production and dissemination not only limits scientific inclusivity but also indirectly reduces the applicability of research findings across diverse sociospatial contexts. Network analysis further shows that a small number of universities and research institutions play a pivotal role in shaping the scientific discourse of the field. Nevertheless, the growing presence of new institutions from Asian countries signals increasing diversity within the knowledge ecosystem. Citation analysis also confirms that influential scientific works have primarily focused on designing sustainable planning frameworks, implementing low-carbon transport policies, and fostering technological innovations. At the same time, areas such as realtime analytics, AI-driven models, health-transport integration, and equity assessment have been identified as emerging but underexplored themes with high potential for future research.

In addition to mapping past and present trends, this study has identified important gaps in the existing literature:

- Insufficient focus on the social and human dimensions of sustainable transport (health, gender, and social participation)
- Limited geographic coverage, especially in low-income countries and rural areas
- Weak theoretical integration among behavioral, technological, and policy-oriented research
- A shortage of interdisciplinary studies that combine big data, machine learning, and health indicators in transport modeling

By adopting a multilayer, 25-year scientometric approach and simultaneously addressing the three pillars of sustainability (environmental, social, and economic), this study offers innovative contributions that are rarely found in previous research. In response to the research questions, the findings show that from 2015 onward, the growth and dynamism of scientific collaborations in sustainable transportation have been significantly strengthened, although regional inequalities persist.

The findings highlight the need to redefine policy priorities along two main axes:

1. Prioritizing equity and health dimensions: Policymakers should facilitate the design of policies that integrally incorporate urban equity, accessibility, and public health considerations into transport planning through targeted investment in behavioral and social research.
2. Investing in data infrastructure: To effectively harness emerging trends (AI and MaaS), allocating resources for the development of realtime analytics infrastructure and data-driven models is indispensable.

Scientometric and cword analyses have clearly mapped conceptual advances and emerging research pathways, offering strategic guidance for future research. The findings of this study provide a comprehensive, multidimensional framework for researchers, policymakers, and urban planners. By delineating thematic pillars, emerging trends, and structural shortcomings, this study offers an evidence-based framework that can help redefine future research priorities, develop smart and inclusive mobility systems, and design resilient, equity-oriented transport policies in the face of climate change. Ultimately, the field of sustainable transportation is entering a new phase of complexity and interdisciplinary convergence. This phase demands a focus on conceptual coherence, democratization of knowledge, and interdisciplinary innovation.

Given the emerging trends and fundamental transformations examined in this study, existing scientific evidence can be effectively employed to design effective and operational policies at various levels of governance. The findings indicate a rapid expansion and conceptual maturation of the field in recent years, particularly in areas such as digital mobility, social justice, emerging technologies, and climate change. However, challenges remain, including geographic disparities, research gaps in social and

human dimensions, and the need for greater integration among different sustainability indicators. Therefore, addressing these challenges and guiding future research calls for comprehensive and coherent approaches in sustainable transport policymaking. Based on these findings, this study offers several datadriven policy recommendations derived from scientometric and cword analyses that can help improve international collaborations, develop sustainable and Aldriven infrastructure, and enhance social justice in urban transport planning. The findings show that in recent years, the field of sustainable transportation has moved from a purely environmental approach toward a multidimensional, datadriven, and humancentered framework. Scientometric trend analysis indicates that concepts such as digitalization, artificial intelligence, social justice, public health, clean energy, and system resilience have become emerging research foci.

Accordingly, several key implications for future policy and planning can be drawn:

- a) Strengthening datadriven approaches and leveraging AI technologies should be considered a core pillar of transport policymaking. Developing data infrastructure and using predictive algorithms can lead to optimized public transport, smart traffic management, and travel demand forecasting. In this framework, integrating MaaS into urban policies can enhance accessibility, service integration, and transport network efficiency.
- b) The prominence of social justice and health concepts in recent knowledge clusters indicates that sustainable transport policies must go beyond carbon emission reduction and attend to social and distributional dimensions. Designing equitable transport systems, especially in underserved and rural areas, as well as developing active transport infrastructure such as walking and cycling, can improve the quality of life and reduce spatial inequalities.
- c) The growing convergence between clean energy research and transportation suggests that investment in electric infrastructure, alternative fuels, and lowcarbon technologies should be prioritized in development programs. Expanding electric vehicle charging networks and supporting innovation in renewable energy not only helps reduce greenhouse gas emissions but also facilitates the transition toward sustainable and costeffective mobility systems.
- d) The results of scientific collaboration analysis reveal increasing international and interdisciplinary interactions in this field. This trend indicates that

solving the complex challenges of sustainable transportation requires the synergy of engineering, social sciences, urban planning, and public policy. Strengthening joint research networks, particularly with the participation of developing countries, can facilitate knowledge transfer, localize solutions, and accelerate the achievement of sustainable development goals.

Finally, the growing prominence of the concept of resilience in recent years – especially after global crises – shows that the future of sustainable transportation depends on designing smart and flexible systems. Leveraging realtime analytics and smart technologies can enhance the responsiveness of transport networks to crises such as pandemics, natural disasters, and climate change, ensuring their stable performance under conditions of uncertainty. Overall, the results of this study indicate that the future of sustainable transportation will be shaped at the intersection of digitalization, social justice, energy transition, and system resilience. This nexus requires an integrated, datadriven, and multilevel approach to policymaking and planning.

Authors' Contributions

First author (25%), Second author (25%), Third author (25%), Fourth author (25%).

Acknowledgments

No financial support was received for this study.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Agyei, V., Adom-Asamoah, G., & Poku-Boansi, M. (2024). Sustainable transportation in Africa: A bibliometric, visualization, and thematic analysis. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 462, 142727. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2024.142727>
- Amorim, J. d. M., de Abreu e Silva, J., & Gonçalves, J. M. (2025). Equity and Spatial Justice Perspectives in Transportation. *Urban Science*, 9(5), 163. <https://doi.org/10.3390/urbansci9050163>
- Anderson, M. D. (2008). Rights-based food systems and the goals of food systems reform. *Agriculture and human values*, 25(4), 593–608. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10460-008-9151-z>
- Antunes, J., Tan, Y., Wanke, P., & Jabbour, C. J. C. (2023). Impact of R&D and innovation in Chinese road transportation sustainability performance: A novel trigonometric envelopment analysis for ideal solutions (TEA-IS). *Socio-Economic Planning Sciences*, 87, 101544. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seps.2023.101544>
- Araghi, F. M., Rabinowitz, A., Ang, C. C., Sharma, S., Kadav, P.,

- Meyer, R. T., ... & Asher, Z. D. (2023). Identifying and assessing research gaps for energy-efficient control of electrified autonomous vehicle Eco-Driving. *Machine Learning and Optimization Techniques for Automotive Cyber-Physical Systems*, 759–786. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-28016-0_27
- Asha'ari, M. J., Daud, S., Kasavan, S., Wan Abdullah, W. M. T., Mustapa, S. I., Rajadurai, J., ... & Mohamed Ali, M. A. B. (2024). Global research trend of sustainable transport in response to energy efficiency: a bibliometric analysis. *SAGE Open*, 14(4), 21582440241275815. <https://doi.org/10.1177/21582440241275815>
- Badassa, B. B., Sun, B., & Qiao, L. (2020). Sustainable transport infrastructure and economic returns: A bibliometric and visualization analysis. *Sustainability*, 12(5), 2033. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su12052033>
- Bao, L., Kusadokoro, M., Chitose, A., & Chen, C. (2023). Development of socially sustainable transport research: A bibliometric and visualization analysis. *Travel behavior and society*, 30, 60–73. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tbs.2022.08.012>
- Castanho, R. A., Behradfar, A., Vulevic, A., & Naranjo Gómez, J. M. (2020). Analyzing transportation sustainability in the Canary Islands Archipelago. *Infrastructures*, 5(7), 58. <https://doi.org/10.3390/infrastructures5070058>
- Castanho, R. A., Luís, J. Á. H., Pimentel, P., & Couto, G. (2024). The territorial cohesion through interisland transport: an in-depth analysis of the Azores autonomous region. *Land*, 13(6), 779. <https://doi.org/10.3390/infrastructures601001>
- Chen, Y., Li, C., Wang, W., Zhang, Y., Chen, X. M., & Gao, Z. (2025). The landscape, trends, challenges, and opportunities of sustainable mobility and transport. *npj Sustainable Mobility and Transport*, 2(1), 8. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s44333-025-00026-8>
- Cottrill, C. D., & Derrible, S. (2015). Leveraging big data for the development of transport sustainability indicators. *Journal of Urban Technology*, 22(1), 45–64. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10630732.2014.942094>
- Du, Q., Lu, C., Zou, P. X., Li, Y., Li, J., & Cui, X. (2021). Estimating transportation carbon efficiency (TCE) across the Belt and Road Initiative countries: An integrated approach of a modified three-stage epsilon-based measurement model. *Environmental Impact Assessment Review*, 90, 106634. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eiar.2021.106634>
- Egilmez, G., & Tatari, O. (2012). A dynamic modeling approach to highway sustainability: Strategies to reduce overall impact. *Transportation Research Part A: Policy and Practice*, 46(7), 1086–1096. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tra.2012.04.011>
- Erdem, P., Akyuz, E., Aydin, M., Celik, E., & Arslan, O. (2024). Assessment of human error contribution to container loss risk under fault tree analysis and interval type-2 fuzzy logic-based SLIM approach. *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part M: Journal of Engineering for the Maritime Environment*, 238(3), 553–566. <https://doi.org/10.1177/14750902231203074>
- Grigoroudis, E., Kouikoglou, V. S., & Phillis, Y. A. (2022). Transportation Sustainability and Relevant Ranking of European Countries. *Journal of Intelligent & Robotic Systems*, 106(2), 49. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10846-022-01736-8>
- Haghshenas, H., & Vaziri, M. (2012). Urban sustainable transportation indicators for global comparison. *Ecological indicators*, 15(1), 115–121. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecol-ind.2011.09.010>
- Heidari, M., Hosseini-Motlagh, S. M., & Nikoo, N. (2020). A subway planning bi-objective multi-period optimization model integrating timetabling and vehicle scheduling: A case study of Tehran. *Transportation*, 47(1), 417–443. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11116-018-9895-2>
- Hosseini, S. M., Mirzahosseini, H., & Guzik, R. (2024). Sustainable integration of autonomous vehicles into road networks: ecological and passenger comfort considerations. *Sustainability*, 16(14), 6239. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su16146239>
- Ince, E. C. (2025). Mapping the path to sustainable urban mobility: a bibliometric analysis of global trends and innovations in transportation research. *Sustainability*, 17(4), 1480. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su17041480>
- Jiang, Z., Yu, D., Luan, S., Zhou, H., & Meng, F. (2022). Integrating traffic signal optimization with vehicle microscopic control to reduce energy consumption in a connected and automated vehicles environment. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 371, 133694. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2022.133694>
- Judijanto, L., Bunyamin, I., & Arini, R. (2025). A bibliometric analysis of transportation sector transformation through green policies. *West Science Social and Humanities Studies*, 3(2), 245–257. <https://doi.org/10.58812/wsshs.v3i02.1687>
- Karjalainen, L. E., & Juhola, S. (2019). Framework for assessing public transportation sustainability in planning and policy-making. *Sustainability*, 11(4), 1028. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su11041028>
- Lai, S., Zhang, W., & Liu, H. (2023). A preference-aware meta-optimization framework for personalized vehicle energy consumption estimation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.14421*. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3580305.3599767>
- Li, H., Hao, Y., Xie, C., Han, Y., & Wang, Z. R. (2023). Emerging technologies and policies for carbon-neutral transportation. *International Journal of Transportation Science and Technology*, 12(1), 329–334. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijtst.2022.09.002>
- Liu, J., Wu, C., Jian, S., Dixit, V., & Rashidi, T. H. (2023). Understanding the impact of occasional activities on travelers' preferences for mobility-as-a-service: A stated preference study. *Travel behavior and society*, 33, 100604. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tbs.2023.100604>
- Mahajan, K., Large, D. R., Burnett, G., & Velaga, N. R. (2021). Exploring the benefits of conversing with a digital voice assistant during automated driving: A parametric duration model of takeover time. *Transportation research part F: traffic psychology and behavior*, 80, 104–126. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trf.2021.03.012>
- Mihanović, V. (2024). Carbon footprint in choosing the best sustainable mode of intercity passenger transport. *Journal of infrastructure, policy and development*, 8(9), 7130–7137. <https://doi.org/10.24294/jipd.v8i9.7130>
- Mihyeon Jeon, C., & Amekudzi, A. (2005). Addressing sustainability in transportation systems: definitions, indicators, and metrics. *Journal of Infrastructure Systems*, 11(1), 31–50. [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(ASCE\)1076-0342\(2005\)11:1\(31](https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)1076-0342(2005)11:1(31)
- Miller, P., de Barros, A. G., Kattan, L., & Wirasinghe, S. C. (2016). Analyzing the sustainability performance of public transportation

- sit. *Transportation Research Part D: Transport and Environment*, 44, 177–198. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trd.2016.02.012>
- Naranjo Gómez, J. M., Castanho, R. A., & Vulevic, A. (2022). Analyzing transportation logistics and infrastructure sustainability in the Iberian Peninsula: The case of Portugal mainland. *European Planning Studies*, 30(12), 2514–2536. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09654313.2021.2014789>
- Onat, N. C., Kucukvar, M., Tatari, O., & Egilmez, G. (2016). Integration of system dynamics approach toward deepening and broadening the life cycle sustainability assessment framework: a case for electric vehicles. *The International Journal of Life Cycle Assessment*, 21(7), 1009–1034. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11367-016-1070-4>
- Ren, R., Hu, W., Dong, J., Sun, B., Chen, Y., & Chen, Z. (2020). A systematic literature review of green and sustainable logistics: Bibliometric analysis, research trend, and knowledge taxonomy. *International journal of environmental research and public health*, 17(1), 261. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17010261>
- Rezaei, A., Cao, M., Liu, Q., & De Vos, J. (2023). Synthesising the existing literature on the market acceptance of autonomous vehicles and the external underlying factors. *Journal of Advanced Transportation*, 2023(1), 6065060. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2023/6065060>
- Roman, M. (2022). Sustainable transport: a state-of-the-art literature review. *Energies*, 15(23), 8997. <https://doi.org/10.3390/en15238997>
- Sohi, M., Loa, P., Ozbilen, B., Ilogansen, X., Lee, Y., & Circella, G. (2025). Examining Complex Impacts of E-shopping and Built Environment Factors on Shopping VMT. *Transportation Research Part D: Transport and Environment*, 139, 104567. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trd.2024.104567>
- Sun, B., Hu, L., Zhang, Q., Zou, C., Wei, N., Jia, Z., & Mao, H. (2024). Impacts of a traffic signal based speed guidance system across different application stages on traffic. *Transportation Research Part D: Transport and Environment*, 136, 104484. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trd.2024.104484>
- Szpilko, D., Budna, K., Drmeyer, H., & Remiszewska, A. (2023). Sustainable and smart mobility—research directions. A systematic literature review. *Economics and Environment*. <http://dx.doi.org/10.34659/eis.2023.86.3.584>
- Tetteh, F. K., Owusu Kwateng, K., & Mensah, J. (2024). Transport sustainability—a bibliometric, systematic methodological review and future research opportunities. *Smart and Resilient Transportation*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/SRT-09-2024-0013>
- Vulevic, A., Castanho, R. A., Naranjo Gomez, J. M., Loures, L., Cabezas, J., Fernandez-Pozo, L., & Martin Gallardo, J. (2020). Accessibility dynamics and regional cross-border cooperation (CBC) perspectives in the Portuguese—Spanish borderland. *Sustainability*, 12(5), 1978. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su12051978>
- Wey, W. M., & Huang, J. Y. (2018). Urban sustainable transportation planning strategies for a livable City's quality of life. *Habitat International*, 82, 9–27. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.habitatint.2018.10.002>
- Zheng, J., Garrick, N. W., Atkinson-Palombo, C., McCahill, C., & Marshall, W. (2013). Guidelines on developing performance metrics for evaluating transportation sustainability. *Research in Transportation Business & Management*, 7, 4–13. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rtbm.2013.02.001>
- Zu, D., Cao, K., & Xu, J. (2021). The impacts of transportation sustainability on higher education in China. *Sustainability*, 13(22), 12579. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su132212579>

